### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTE	RNATIONAL SEA	ARCHING AUTH	ORITY			
To					POREC'D 0 5 SEP 2005	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
i .	form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	International application No. PCT/IB2005/051214		International filing date (date 13.04.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.04.2004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G09G3/34, H04N5/57						
	icant NINKLIJKE PHIL	IPS ELECTRO	ONICS N.V.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant chould be so considered in the solution of the solution of the international Bure will not be so considered in the inter	o. II Priority o. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability o. IV Lack of unity of invention o. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement o. VI Certain documents cited o. VII Certain defects in the international application o. VIII Certain observations on the international application o. VIII Certain observations on the international application of the International Preliminary Examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a inicinon of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where and chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the hall Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority so considered.  ion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three methods of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, expires later.				
	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA:  Authorized Officer						



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Ladiray, O

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2480



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/051214

Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
a. type of material:			
a sequence listing			
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing			
b. format of material:			
☐ in written format			
in computer readable form			
c. time of filing/furnishing:			
☐ contained in the international application as filed.			
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
Additional comments			

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/051214

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-8

No: Claims

1,2,9,10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims Claims

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

No:

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US5,854,618

D2: US2002/0075251 A1

D3: JP2002218343

### 2. Independent claims.

### 2.1. Novelty.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 10 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

### Claim 1.

A display product (see col.1/ lines 6-10) including:

- ► a display (display screen 18 of fig.1);
- processing means for receiving one or more image signals and presenting the images on the display (receiving and decoding section 10 of fig.1+ col.3/lines 1-5);
   and
- controlling means (control unit 13 of fig.1) for selectively switching operation of the display product between at least a first display product mode of operation during which images are presented on the display and a second night-light mode of operation during which the display product is operable to function at reduced power to provide night-light illumination from the display (see col.2/lines 21-33 & 58-67: switch between the operating mode and the standby mode. The standby mode implicitly provides a night-light illumination).

### Claim 10.

The subject-matter of claim 10 corresponds in method steps to the subject-matter of claim 1.

Therefore, the objections raised for claim 1 also apply for claim 10.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/051214

The subject-matter of claim 10 is therefore known from D1.

### 2.2. Inventive step.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 11 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 11.

### Claim 11.

Implementing a software in the control unit 13 of the display apparatus disclosed in D1 is a mere possibility from which the skilled person would choose, according to circumstances, for generating the decision of selecting either the normal operation mode or the standby mode, without exercise of inventive skill.

### Dependent claims.

Dependent claims 2-9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.

### Claim 2 (known from D1).

See fig.1 + col.2/lines 21-33 & 58-67 of D1: during the standby mode, the decoding and receiving section 10 is made substantially inactive (implicitly done by the control unit 13).

### Claim 3 (not inventive).

Starting from D1, when confronted with the problem of displaying any data requesting a regular refreshment, the skilled person would, according to circumstances, adapt the apparatus of D1 to make it able to receive intermittent data during the standby mode, without exercise of inventive skill.

### Claim 4 (not inventive).

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/051214

Starting from D1, reducing the image display frequency is a known solution when confronted with the problem of saving power in a display device.

As an illustration, D2 discloses a mere example of this technique (see paragraph 40).

### Claims 5 and 8 (not inventive).

Starting from D1, when confronted with the problem of designing the decision block selecting either the normal operation mode or the standby mode, the skilled person would, according to circumstances, base this decision upon the output value of a sensor sensing any environmental characteristics, without exercise of inventive skill.

As an illustration, by sensing or not the presence of a user (motion sensor), the display device of D3 is switched in the normal display state or in the standby mode, respectively. Similar to this reasoning, the skilled person would implement, according to circumstances, a motion sensor in the display apparatus of D1 to detect any intrusion, without exercise of inventive skill.

### Claim 6 (not inventive).

Consuming, during the standby mode, an order of magnitude less power than in the normal operation mode is a realistic result that can be achieved by the apparatus disclosed in D1.

### Claim 7 (not inventive).

A display comprising a backlight unit is a mere possibility from which the skilled person would choose, according to circumstances, when confronted with the problem of implementing the display screen 18 of D1, without exercise of inventive skill.

### Claim 9 (known from D1).

See fig.2 + col.3/lines 6-48 of D1: during the standby mode, the characteristics of the sound generated by the user will directly impact the content of the displayed image.

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	IORITY	<u>.</u>			
То:		POREC'D 0 5 SEP 2005			
see form PCT/ISA/220	ار/د:	WIPO PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. PCT/IB2005/051214	International filing date (date )	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.04.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or G09G3/34, H04N5/57	both national classification	and IPC			
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRO	ONICS N.V.				
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishm  Box No. IV Lack of unity of  Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cit  Box No. VI Certain docume  Box No. VII Certain defects  Box No. VIII Certain observa  FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international prelimation opinion of the International the applicant chooses an Authority International Bureau under Rule 6 will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above submit to the IPEA a written reply	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application ION International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where boses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the reau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority insidered.  as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to EA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, as later.				
3. For further details, see notes to Fo					
•					
Name and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	ones folenie		



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Ladiray, O

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2480 .



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/051214

Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>			
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
a. type of material:			
☐ a sequence listing			
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing			
b. format of material:			
□ in written format			
☐ in computer readable form			
c. time of filing/furnishing:			
contained in the international application as filed.			
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
Additional comments:			

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/051214

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-8

No: Claims

No:

1,2,9,10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-11

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

### 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US5,854,618

D2: US2002/0075251 A1

D3: JP2002218343

### 2. Independent claims.

### 2.1. Novelty.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 10 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

### Claim 1.

A display product (see col.1/ lines 6-10) including:

- ► a display (display screen 18 of fig.1);
- processing means for receiving one or more image signals and presenting the images on the display (receiving and decoding section 10 of fig.1+ col.3/lines 1-5);
   and
- controlling means (control unit 13 of fig.1) for selectively switching operation of the display product between at least a first display product mode of operation during which images are presented on the display and a second night-light mode of operation during which the display product is operable to function at reduced power to provide night-light illumination from the display (see col.2/lines 21-33 & 58-67: switch between the operating mode and the standby mode. The standby mode implicitly provides a night-light illumination).

### Claim 10.

The subject-matter of claim 10 corresponds in method steps to the subject-matter of claim 1.

Therefore, the objections raised for claim 1 also apply for claim 10.

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/051214

The subject-matter of claim 10 is therefore known from D1.

### 2.2. Inventive step.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 11 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 11.

### Claim 11.

Ź

Implementing a software in the control unit 13 of the display apparatus disclosed in D1 is a mere possibility from which the skilled person would choose, according to circumstances, for generating the decision of selecting either the normal operation mode or the standby mode, without exercise of inventive skill.

#### 3. Dependent claims.

Dependent claims 2-9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.

### Claim 2 (known from D1).

See fig.1 + col.2/lines 21-33 & 58-67 of D1: during the standby mode, the decoding and receiving section 10 is made substantially inactive (implicitly done by the control unit 13).

### Claim 3 (not inventive).

Starting from D1, when confronted with the problem of displaying any data requesting a regular refreshment, the skilled person would, according to circumstances, adapt the apparatus of D1 to make it able to receive intermittent data during the standby mode, without exercise of inventive skill.

### Claim 4 (not inventive).

10599996

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/051214

Starting from D1, reducing the image display frequency is a known solution when confronted with the problem of saving power in a display device.

As an illustration, D2 discloses a mere example of this technique (see paragraph 40).

### Claims 5 and 8 (not inventive).

Starting from D1, when confronted with the problem of designing the decision block selecting either the normal operation mode or the standby mode, the skilled person would, according to circumstances, base this decision upon the output value of a sensor sensing any environmental characteristics, without exercise of inventive skill.

As an illustration, by sensing or not the presence of a user (motion sensor), the display device of D3 is switched in the normal display state or in the standby mode, respectively. Similar to this reasoning, the skilled person would implement, according to circumstances, a motion sensor in the display apparatus of D1 to detect any intrusion, without exercise of inventive skill.

### Claim 6 (not inventive).

Consuming, during the standby mode, an order of magnitude less power than in the normal operation mode is a realistic result that can be achieved by the apparatus disclosed in D1.

### Claim 7 (not inventive).

A display comprising a backlight unit is a mere possibility from which the skilled person would choose, according to circumstances, when confronted with the problem of implementing the display screen 18 of D1, without exercise of inventive skill.

### Claim 9 (known from D1).

See fig.2 + col.3/lines 6-48 of D1: during the standby mode, the characteristics of the sound generated by the user will directly impact the content of the displayed image.